

Overview of Human Hand Anatomy and Function





#### ROTATION (PRONATION-SUPINATION)

#### SIGNIFICANCE

Rotation (pronation-supination) is the movement of the forearm about its longitudinal axis.


It involves two joints which are mechanically linked (Fig. 1):

- the superior radio-ulnar (SRU) joint, which anatomically belongs to the elbow
- the inferior radio-ulnar (IRU) joint, which is anatomically separate from the wrist.

This longitudinal rotation of the forearm introduces a third degree of freedom in the articular complex of the wrist. Thus the hand, the effector extremity of the upper limb, can be placed in any position to grasp or support an object. Note that the presence of a synovial joint with three degrees of freedom at the wrist would have created many mechanical problems. Thus it would have been necessary to supply the mobile extremity, i.e. carpus, with bony projections to provide leverage for the rotator muscles. Also it would have been mechanically impossible for the tendons of the forearm muscles to cross the wrist, since the latter would have been free to twist on itself during longitudinal rotation. Hence the hand would have had to contain all the extrinsic muscles, at the expense of muscle efficiency and hand size.

Longitudinal rotation of the forearm itself is at once the logical and elegant solution, even if the skeleton of the forearm is complicated by the presence of a *second bone*, the radius, which not only by itself supports the hand but also rotates around the first bone, the ulna, at the level of two radio-ulnar joints.

This architectural design of the forearm appeared 400 million years ago when certain fishes left the sea to colonise the land and transform into tetrapod amphibians.



#### THE WRIST


#### SIGNIFICANCE

The wrist is the distal joint of the upper limb and allows the hand, which is the effector segment, to assume the optimal position for prehension.

The articular complex of the wrist has basically two degrees of freedom. When these are compounded with pronation and supination, i.e. rotation of the forearm around its long axis, the hand can be oriented at any angle to grasp or hold an object.

The articular complex consists of two joints:

- the radio-carpal (RC) joint (wrist joint), between the radial head and the proximal row of carpal bones.
- the mid-carpal (MC) joint between the proximal and distal rows of carpal bones.



Movements of the wrist (Fig. 1) occur around two axes when the hand is in the anatomical position, i.e. in full supination:

- A transverse axis AA' lying in the *frontal plane* (hatched vertically) and controlling movements of *flexion and extension*, which take place in the sagittal plane (hatched horizontally):
  - Flexion (arrow 1): the anterior (palmar) surface of the hand moves towards the anterior aspect of the forearm.
  - Extension (arrow 2): the posterior (dorsal) surface of the hand moves towards the posterior aspect of the forearm. (It is better to avoid the terms dorsiflexion and palmar flexion)
  - An antero-posterior axis BB', lying in the sagittal plane (hatched horizontally) and controlling movements of adduction and abduction, which take place in the frontal plane (hatched vertically).
  - Adduction or ulnar deviation (arrow 3): the hand moves towards the axis of the body and its medial (ulnar) border forms an obtuse angle with the medial border of the forearm;
  - Abduction or radial deviation (arrow 4): the hand moves away from the axis of the body and its lateral (radial) border forms an obtuse angle with the lateral border of the forearm.


85 deg 85 deg

Α

в

# 45 deg 15 deg


## Wrist Articular Complex






Bone locations segmented from CT scan by Liz Marai in the late 90's

### Stabilizing Ligaments



# Muscles of the Wrist





Bone locations segmented from CT scan by Liz Marai in the late 90's

#### THE HAND

#### ITS ROLE

The hand of man is a remarkable instrument, capable of performing countless actions, owing to its essential function: prehension.


Prehension is seen in all forms of the 'hand' from the pincers of the lobster to the paw of the ape, but it attains perfection only in man. This is due to a special movement of the thumb (called opposition) which brings it into contact with each finger. Opposition is seen in the great apes, but its range is more limited than in man.

At the same time the lack of specialization in the human hand underlies its adaptability and creativity.

From the functional viewpoint the hand is the *effector organ* of the upper limb, which supports it mechanically and allows it to adopt the optimal position for any given action. However, the hand is not only a motor organ but also a very sensitive and accurate sensory receptor, which feeds back information essential for its own performance. Finally, it provides the cerebral cortex with *information regarding thickness and distance* and thus is responsible for the development of *visual appreciation* by allowing cross checking of information. Without the hand our idea of the world would be flat and lacking in contrasts.

It is essential for that particular sense, stereognosis, which is the appreciation of relief, shape, thickness, *space*. It also trains the brain in the appreciation of texture, weight and temperature. By itself the hand is able to recognise an object, unaided by the eye.

The hand therefore forms with the brain an inseparable interacting functional pair and this close interaction is responsible for man's ability to alter nature at will and to dominate other species.



#### THE RANGE OF MOVEMENTS OF THE METACARPO-PHALANGEAL JOINTS

Flexion has a range of about 90° (Fig. 38). It falls just short of 90° for the index but increases progressively with the other fingers. Also, isolated flexion of the finger (here of the middle finger) is checked (Fig. 39) by the tension developed in the palmar interdigital ligament.

Active extension is variable and can reach up to 30° or 40° (Fig. 40). Passive extension can reach up to 90° in people with very lax ligaments (Fig. 41)


Of all the fingers (except the thumb) the index finger has the greatest range of side-to-side movement (30) and, as it can easily be moved alone, the terms abduction (A) and adduction (B) strictly apply here. It is to this great mobility that the index owes its name: index = indicator.

By a succession of the simple moveements (Fig. 43) of abduction, (A), adduction (B), extension (C) and flexion (D) the index performs the movements of circumduction which take place within the cone of circumduction. This is defined by its base (ACBD) and its apex (metacarpophalangeal joint). This cone is flattened transversely because of the greater range of the movements of flexion and extension. Its axis (white arrow) corresponds to the position of equilibrium or function of the MP joint of the index.

Condyloid joints do not normally show axial rotation and this applies to the MP joints of the four fingers as regards active rotation. However, owing to the laxity of the ligaments, a measure of passive axial rotation is possible with a range of about 60° (Roud).

Note that with the index finger the range of medial rotation - or pronation - is much greater (45°) than that of lateral rotation — or supination — which is trivial.

Even if a true active axial rotation is not seen at the MP joints, there is an automatic rotation in the direction of supination, resulting from the asymmetry of the metacarpal head and the unequal length and tension of the collateral ligaments. This movement, which is similar to that seen in the IP joint of the thumb, is more marked in the more medial fingers and is maximal for the little finger, where it contributes to the movement of that finger towards the opposing thumb.




The Interphalangeal Joints

### Proximal Interphalangeal (PIP)

and

### Distal Interphalangeal (DIP)



THE INTERPHALANGEAL JOINTS (continued)

Passive extension (Fig. 52) is nil at the PIP joint (P), but appreciable (30°) at the DIP joint (D).

Since the IP joints have only one degree of freedom, there is no active, but only slight passive side-to-side movement (Fig. 53), especially at the DIP joint.


The plane of movement of flexion of the last four fingers is worth discussing (Fig. 54).

The index is flexed in a strictly sagittal plane (P) towards the base of the thenar eminence (long white arrow).


As shown previously (Fig. 13), the axes of the fingers during flexion all converge to a point corresponding to the 'radial pulse'. This can only occur if the other fingers are flexed not in a sagittal plane like the index, but in an increasingly oblique plane.

The little finger shows maximal obliquity of its plane of flexion (shown as small white arrow).

The significance of this 'oblique' flexion lies in the fact that it allows the more medial fingers to oppose the thumb like the index.



### Four elementary movements?




Long (Extrinsic) Flexors of the fingers

Flexor Digitorum Profundus


and

Flexor Digitorum Superficialus




Extrinsic Extensor Web


> Extensor Digitorum Communis (EDC)




#### Extrinsic Flexor / Extensor Balance



Intrinsic Interosseus and Lumbrical Muscles



### Hypothenar eminence



#### THE THUMB

The thumb plays a unique role in the function of the hand, being essential for the formation of the *pollici-digital pincers* and for the development of a *powerful grip* along with the other fingers. It can also take part in *dynamic grips* (grips associated with actions). Thus, without the thumb, the hand loses most of its capabilities.

This preeminent role of the thumb is partly due to its location anterior to the palm and the other fingers (Fig. 104), which allows the thumb to move towards the fingers individually or together (the movement of opposition) and away from them (the movement of counteropposition). It is also due to its remarkable functional suppleness secondary to the peculiar organization of its osteo-articular column and its motor muscles.

#### THE GEOMETRY OF OPPOSITION OF THE THUMB


Geometrically speaking (Fig. 106), opposition of the thumb consists of bringing into contact the pulp of the thumb and that of another finger so that they touch at point A' (thumb) and A (finger). In other words, the tangential planes of the two pulps A and A' merge in space at a single point A + A'.


For two points to coincide in space (Fig. 107) three degrees of freedom are necessary in keeping with the three coordinates in space, x, y, z. Two additional degrees of freedom are needed for the planes of the pulps to coincide perfectly, i.e. two axes t and u are needed for rotation to occur. Since the pulps cannot come into contact 'back to back' a third degree of freedom about an axis v perpendicular to t and u is not necessary.


In sum, to achieve coincidence of these planes five degrees of freedom are necessary:

- three for coincidence of the points of contact.
- two for full coincidence of the planes of the pulps.


It can be easily demonstrated that each axis of a joint represents a degree of freedom and that these degrees of freedom can be added numerically. Thus the five degrees of freedom of the column of the thumb are both necessary and adequate to achieve opposition of the the thumb.









### Metacarpophalangeal joint (MCP joint)




### Interphalangeal joint (IP joint)



### Muscles of the Thumb








#### actions of extrinsic muscles

### actions of intrinsic muscles










208a


#### THE MODES OF PREHENSION


The complex anatomical and physiological organization of the hand contributes to prehension. There are many modes of prehension which fall into three broad categories: static grips, grips associated with gravity and dynamic grips (associated with actions). In addition to prehension, the hand can act as an instrument of percussion, as a means of contact and in the performance of gestures. These will be discussed sequentially.






























Finpe	Assistantical Name	Roberty Tension *	Jours DOF	Montest Arm   *	Finger	Automical Name	Relative Transien	Isses/DOF	Monast Area
lades 4	Hener Diginorum Seperficialia	2.0	MCP fee.	615	Pakyd	Flexor Diplorum Sepericialis	19	MCP fire.	11.9
			MCP ald	312	1			MCP add.	3.7
			PP fics.					PIP flox.	6.2
	Piener Digitorum Profundue	2.7	MCP flex,	11.0		Flexir Digitorun Perlandut	30	MCP field	11.3
			MCP add.	- 11				MCP add	
			PP fex.	.19				PIP firs.	
			DEP Res.	4.1				DIP fies.	4.0
	Extensor Digisarum Communia	1.0	MCP est.	8.8		Extensor Digitorate	10	MCP est.	8.6
			MCP and	82				MCP and	6.2
			FOP est.	28				PP ant	2.4
			DEP sai.	22				DEP ++1.	23
	Existent Indiais		MCP and	13		Extensor Digiti Maansi Abdachar Digiti Masina	10	MCP and. PDP and.	8.6
			FIF est.	24				DIF int.	13
			DEP est.	19	1 1			CMC opp.	
	Lambing 1	0.2	MCF fax.	8.5	1 1	2		MEP and	
			MCP and	40				PP at.	15
			FF est.	- 14				DEP etc.	1
- 4			DEP dail	6.7	1 1	Parase Digita Maani Bravia		CMC opp.	
Ĩ	Pulsar Inventor 1	13	MCP for	8.6	1 3	Opponento Digiti Missimi	10	CMC opp.	
	2012/22/22/22/22/22		MCP and	1.8	1 8	Lashing IV	81	MCP for	5
			PP en.	16	1		1624	MKIP and	4.8
- 11			OEP est.	14	1			PP out.	1.8
- 31	Donal Immunities I	3.2	MCP fee.	17				DOP ent.	6.7
-			MCP and	6.1		Palmar Etermonice III	10	MCP den.	6.5
44	Peter Digiteran Reporteiale	3.4	MCP fux.	31.9	1 1			MCP add	2.8
			MCP and	17	1			DIP IN.	2.6
- 1	11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-		PP des.	6.2	1 1			PP est.	1.6
- 3	Flexor Digstorium Probabiliti	3.4	MCP fire.	101	1 3	Dornal Internation (V	17	MCP fex.	3.7
- 1	A CONTRACTOR OF THE ACT		MCP edd.	4	1	Service Greeklows	1.52	MCP and	6.5
			PP for.	7.6				PSP est.	2.6
			Diff firs.	4.1	1 1			DOP-ent.	TA
	Extensor Digitarum	1.9	MCP att.	8.6	Thenh.	Finner Politice Longes	10	CMC abd.	0.2
- 1			MCP and	0.2				CMC fin.	14.3
- 1			PP est.	1.8	1			MCP add.	0.1
- 14			D4P ext.	2.2				MCP fies.	13.6
- 8	Lambical B	62	MCP fex.					IP first.	8.7
- U			MCP and	4.8		Retenser Polisis Lorga	13	CMC ext.	8.1
- 1			HP est.	1.8				CMC add.	9.5
			D07 est	07				MCF est.	8.5
	Datal Introduce II	23	MCP fex.	37				MCP add.	4.4
- 1			MCP add.	4.1			-	IF est.	4.1
			FIP est.	24		Abdustor Pollacis Longue	м	CMC est.	3.6
- 13			DBP enti.	1.6				CMC and	10.5
1	Denal Internation III	2.0	MCF fee.	3.7		Estenar Pollain Brein		CMC est.	19.0
- 4			MCP add.	.63				CMC and	3.5
			PP csi.	2.8				MCF ett.	8.6
			D07 est	314				MCP and	1.4
ing *	Heter Digitorum Sepericialia	38	MCP fex.			Abductor Publicis Betris	- U.	CMC 8m	3.9
			MCP add.	1.7			1	CMC and	36.5
			PP firs.	62			1 2	MCP and	11.1
11	Paner Digiteran Pedualar	**	MCF fex.	11.1			1	MCP fas.	2.6
			MCF ald.	6.0		Fieter Policia Bervis	13	CMC 8m	13.4
			PP des.	2.9				CMC and	16.5
	have been		DIP Act.	41				MCP and	1.5
	Extensor Digitarum	13	35.7 441.	8.6				MCP fin.	11
			MCF and	4.2		Opponents Pullate	18	CMC flat.	12.9
			PP est.	2.8				CMC and	4.8
	Lastroi B	83	DEP dat.	22		Adductor Policin(1)	10	CMC fox.	36.9
			MCP first	3				CMC all.	264
			MCP and	4.8				MCP fan.	83
			PP or.	18		Adductor Pullipiese	3.9	MCP ald.	6.0
			DIP and	67				CMC dis.	20
	Palmar Interioration 2	12	MCP for.	66				CMC add.	17
			MCF add.	34				MCP fun.	8.2
			DIP 485	2.6				MCP ald.	4.0
			PP cm.	1.6					


Y. Li, J. L. Fu, and N. S. Pollard, 2007. Data Driven Grasp Synthesis using Shape Matching and Task-Based Pruning, IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics, 2007.

TABLE III TENDON DATA

Finger	Anatomical Name	Relative Tension 4	Joins/DOF	Morrest Arm   8	Finger	Anatomical Name	Relative Treason	Rent DOF	Melescet Ara
Index C	Plesor Digitorsen Superficialis	20	MCP firs.	119	Pinkyd	Plesse Digitiesen Seperficialis	6.9	MCP fex.	11.9
			MCP sild.	17	1			MCP edd.	31.7
			PIP Sex.	6.2	1			PP fics.	6.2
	Flenor Diginarum Profundus.	13	MCP flox.	10		Farwar Digitorius Profundas	2.8	MCP fee.	11.1
			MCP add	1.1				MCP ald	
			FSP dex.	7.9	1			PIP fea.	7.9
			DIP fex.	4.1				DIP fice.	4.1
	Extensor Digitorian Communis	10	MCP est.	8.0		Extense Digitorum		MCP att.	8.6
			MCP and	0.2				MCP and	0.2
			PP est.	2.8				PIP est.	2.8
			DIP est.	22				DIP est.	12
	Extensor Indicis	10	MCP est.		1	Extensor Digiti Minimi	1.0	MCP etc.	8.6
			MCP add.	1.5	1			PIP on.	2.6
			PSP est.	2.6				DIP est.	1.9
			DIP-ext.	1.9		Abductor Digitt Minimi		CMC opp.	
	Lambrical I	83	MCP fire	43	1			MCP and.	
			MCP and	4.8	1			PIP est.	2.5
			PIP est.	1.8				DEP ent.	2
			D8P est	0.7		Flexor Digiti Materia Brevia	8.4	CMC rpp.	
	Palmar Intercentus I	- 13 -	MCP fies.	6.6		Opposens Digiti Minimi	1.0	CMC rpp.	
			MCP add	5.8		Lumbrical IV	0)	MCF fex.	
			PIP sat.	2.6				MCP and	4.8
			DIP est.	1.6				PIP est.	:1.8
	Dorial Interneoras I	3.2	MCP flot.	3.7				DiP est.	9.7
0.7010403			MCP and	6.1		Palmar Interconeus III	1.0	MCF fex.	6.5
Mobile <sup>4</sup>	Plenor Digitorium Superficiality	3.4	MCP Brs.	11.9				MCP ald.	5.8
			MCF ald	1.7				DEP est.	3.6
			PIP des.	42	1			PIP est.	1.6
	Pienor Digitorum Probadus	34	MCP flot.	61.1		Durnal Interneties IV		MOP for.	3.7
			MCP add	6				MCP and	6.1
			PP fies.	2.9				PIP est.	2.6
			DIP flex.	41				DIP est.	1.6
	Estrear Digitorian	1.9	MCP-est.	8.6	Thumb *	Plexer Poliicis Longes	2.7	CMC abd.	0.2
			MCP and	0.2				CMC fax.	6.6
			PIP est.	2.8	1			MCP add.	D,1
		1540 1	DBP ent.	2.2				MCP fes.	13.6
	Lambrical II	82	MCP flett.	3				IP fies.	8.7
			MCP and	48	1	Essensor Policis Longus	13	CMC etc.	8.1

Y. Li, J. L. Fu, and N. S. Pollard, 2007. Data Driven Grasp Synthesis using Shape Matching and Task-Based Pruning, IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics, 2007.


FEM simulation driven by the bone meshes



Wang, Bohan, George Matcuk, and Jernej Barbič. "Hand modeling and simulation using stabilized magnetic resonance imaging." ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG) 2019


https://viterbi-web.usc.edu/~jbarbic/hand-mri/WangMatcukBarbic-SIGGRAPH2019.mp4

FEM simulation driven by the bone meshes




Wang, Bohan, George Matcuk, and Jernej Barbič. "Hand modeling and simulation using stabilized magnetic resonance imaging." ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG) 2019

https://viterbi-web.usc.edu/~jbarbic/hand-mri/WangMatcukBarbic-SIGGRAPH2019.mp4



Wang, Bohan, George Matcuk, and Jernej Barbič. "Modeling of Personalized Anatomy using Plastic Strains." ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG) 40, no. 2 (2021): 1-21.

https://viterbi-web.usc.edu/~jbarbic/plasticDefo/WangMatcukBarbic-tog2020.mp4



Wang, Bohan, George Matcuk, and Jernej Barbič. "Modeling of Personalized Anatomy using Plastic Strains." ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG) 40, no. 2 (2021): 1-21.


https://viterbi-web.usc.edu/~jbarbic/plasticDefo/WangMatcukBarbic-tog2020.mp4

J. Anar. (2000) 197, pp. 121-140, with 10 figures Printed in the United Kingdom

## Review

Evolution of the human hand: approaches to acquiring, analysing and interpreting the anatomical evidence

## MARY W. MARZKE<sup>1</sup> AND R. F. MARZKE<sup>2</sup>








Fig. 6. Contrasts between: (a) a firm precision cradle grip of a stone core; (b) a 3-jaw chuck precision pinch grip of a hammerstone; (c) a delicate precision grip by the tips of the thumb and fingers and (d) a spherical power grip. The delicate precision grip would not resist displacement of the core by a hammerstone during the removal of flakes. The spherical power grip encloses the stone, exposing the fingers to damage by the hammerstone. The firm precision cradle and 3-jaw chuck grips resist displacement of the core, but allow exposure of the working edge of the core for safe flake removal.












Figure 2. Types of tactile (mechanoreceptive) sensors in the glabrous skin of the inside of the human hand. A. Graphs schematically show the impulse discharge (lower traces) to perpendicular ramp indentations of the skin (upper traces) for each sensor type. Two types (FA-I and FA-II) show fast adaptation to maintained tissue deformation, i.e. they only respond to deformation changes. These afferents was originally termed RA (rapidly adapting) and PC (Pacinian). Two types adapt slowly (SA-I and SA-II). That is, they show an ongoing response related to the strength of maintained tissue deformation. The type I sensors (FA-I and SA-I) have small and well-defined cutaneous receptive fields (typically 10 mm<sup>2</sup>) when defined by light pointed stimuli (patches in the drawing of the hand represents the fields of 15 different sensors). The density of these sensors increases stepwise in the proximo-distal direction of the hand, with one step from the palm to the main parts of the digits and one at the border between the proximal to the distal half of the distal phalanx (see the right-most drawing of the hand where the numbers afferents per sq. cm skin area is indicated for the fingertips, fingers and palm, respectively). In contrast, the FA-II and SA-II sensors show lower and about uniform densities over the hand and their receptive fields are larger and less well defined. The FA-IIs are especially responsive to mechanical transients that propagate though the tissues, whereas the SA-IIs sense strain in the dermal and subdermal fibrous tissues, often with a remote origin. The relative frequency of occurrence in the glabrous skin and the probable morphological correlate are indicated for each type of sensor. B. Diagrammatic vertical section of a fingertip showing the organized nerve terminals corresponding to the four types of tactile sensors. (Compiled from data reviewed in Johansson, R.S. and Vallbo, A.B. 1983 and in Vallbo, A.B. and Johansson, R.S. 1984.






## **Design Issues - Sensing**

nerve endings Meissner corpuscles Merkel discs Ruffini corpuscles Pacinian corpuscles temperature, pain velocity, light touch pressure, low freq pressure, skin stretch acceleration, high freq

Golgi tendon organs

tendon stretch



## **Design Issues – Control**

It has been observed that muscle coordination patterns switch from position control to isometric force control ~65 ms before contact

For humans, forces modulated by sensor feedback w/in 70ms

Typists may have 100-200ms interval between keystrokes

Pianists may have 80-100ms interval between notes

Typical latencies in a robotic hand/arm system may be 40ms

A hand moving at a typical reaching speed of 1m/s will move 4cm in 40ms