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Flexible Graphics Pipelines
(programmable global structure, not just programmable stages)
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Graphics pipeline pre Direct3D 10

vertices → Vertex → triangles → Rasterization → fragments → Fragment → Pixel Ops
Added new stage

Added ability to dump intermediate results out to memory for reuse
Pipeline circa 2010

Added three new stages (new data flows needed to support high-quality surfaces)

Forked off a separate 1-stage pipeline (a.k.a. "OpenCL/CUDA) (with relaxed data-access and communication/sync rules)
Modern graphics pipeline: highly configurable structure

Direct3D 11, OpenGL 4 pipeline configurations
Current trends in interactive graphics

- Rapid parallel algorithm development in community
- Increasing machine performance and flexibility (e.g., heterogeneous capabilities)
  - “Traditional” discrete GPU designs
  - Most modern systems are hybrid CPU + GPU platforms

Space of candidate algorithms for future real-time use is growing rapidly
Example: global illumination algorithms
Alternative shading structures ("deferred shading")

1000 lights, [Andersson 09]
Game physics / simulation / procedural geometry

Credit: Inigo Quilez
Parallel programming model challenge

- Future interactive systems → broad application scope
  - Not all algorithms map elegantly to current pipeline structure
  - Pipeline structure could be extended further, but complexity is growing unmanageable

- Must retain high efficiency typical of current systems
  - Future hardware platforms (especially CPU+accelerator hybrids) will have the combination of resources for executing these workloads efficiently
  - Continue to leverage fixed-function processing when appropriate
  - How to abstract?

Option 1: discard pipeline structure, drop to lower-level frameworks

CUDA, OpenCL, ComputeShader, C++ /w libraries
Challenge

- **Future interactive systems → broad application scope**
  - Not a great fit for current pipeline structure
  - Pipeline structure could be extended further, but complexity is growing unmanageable

- **Must retain high efficiency of current systems**
  - Future hardware platforms (especially CPU+accelerator hybrids) will be designed to run these workloads well
  - Continue to leverage fixed-function processing when appropriate
A unique (undesirable?) property of GPU design

- The fixed-function components on a GPU control the operation of the programmable components
  - Fixed function logic generates work (e.g., input assembler, tessellator, rasterizer all generate elements for processing by programmable cores)
  - Programmable logic processes elements

- In other words... application-programmable logic forms the inner loops of the rendering computation, not the outer loops!

- Ongoing research question: can we flip this design around?
  - Maintain efficiency of heterogeneous hardware implementation, but give programmers control of how hardware is used and managed.
Today -- GRAMPS: one example of flipping the pipeline around

GRAMPS: A Programming Model for Graphics Pipelines
[Sugerman, Fatahalian, Boulos, Akeley, Hanrahan 2009]
GRAMPS programming system: goals

- Enable development of application-defined graphics pipelines
  - Producer-consumer locality is important
  - Accommodate heterogeneity in workload
    - Many algorithms feature both regular data parallelism and irregular parallelism (recall: current graphics pipelines encapsulate irregularity in non-programmable parts of pipeline)

- High performance: target future CPU+GPUs (embrace heterogeneity)
  - Throughput ("accelerator") processing cores
  - Traditional CPU-like processing cores
  - Fixed-function units
GRAMPS overview

- Programs are graphs of stages and queues
  - Expose program structure
  - Leave stage internals largely unconstrained

GRAMPS primitives

- Thread Stage
- Shader Stage
- Custom HW Stage
- Queue
- Queue Set
- Push Queue
Writing a GRAMPS program

1. Design application graph and queues
2. Implement the stages
3. Instantiate graph and launch
Queues

- Bounded size, operate at granularity of “packets” (structs)
  - Packets have one of two formats:
    1. Blob of data: completely opaque to system
    2. Header + array of opaque elements

- Queues can be ordered (FIFOs) or unordered FIFOs
“Thread” and custom HW stages

- Preemptible, long-lived and stateful (think pthreads)
  - Threads orchestrate computation: merge, compare repack inputs
- Manipulate queues via in-place reserve/commit
- Custom HW stages are logically just threads, but implemented by HW

![Diagram of rendering pipeline with stages and queues](image)
“Shader” stages

- System support for data-parallel execution
  - Logic is defined per element (like graphics shaders today)
  - Automatically instanced and parallelized by GRAMPS
- Non-preemptible and stateless
  - System has preserved queue storage for inputs/outputs
- Push: allows shader stage invocation to output variable number of elements to output queue
  - GRAMPS coalesces output into full packets (of header + array type)
Queue sets (for mutual exclusion)

- Like N independent serial subqueues (but attached to a single instanced stage)
  - Subqueues can be created statically or “on-demand” on first output
  - Can be sparsely indexed (can think of subqueue index as a key)
Graphics pipelines in GRAMPS

Rasterization Pipeline (with ray tracing extension)

- Vertex Buffers
- IA\(_1\) → VS\(_1\) → RO → Rast → PS → OM
- IA\(_N\) → VS\(_N\)

Ray Tracing Graph

- Tiler → Sampler → Camera → Intersect → Shade → Shadow Intersect → Frame Buffer
- Frame Buffer

Ray Tracing Extension
Key challenge: scheduling GRAMPS pipelines

- **Naive scheduler:**
  - Use graph structure to set simple stage priorities
  - Only preempt Thread Stages on `reserve/commit` operations

Stage numbers are scheduling priorities (lowest number = highest priority)
Always execute lowest-numbered stage that has work.
Result: “breadth-first” scheduler
Key challenge: scheduling GRAMPS pipelines

Other scheduling policies:
- "Breadth first" always schedule lowest numbered stage with work
  - Maximizes parallelism
  - Maximizes queue lengths
  - Minimizes switching overheads
- "Depth first" always schedule lowest priority stage with work
  - Minimizes queue lengths (produce, then immediately consume)
  - Potentially higher switching overheads due to frequent switching
- Dynamic priorities based on queue lengths:
  - Keep queue lengths above low watermark, below high watermark
GRAMPS recap

- Key abstraction is the computation graph: typed stages and queues
  - Thread, fixed-function, and “shader” stages
  - A few types of queues: ordered, unordered, queuesets

- Key underlying ideas:
  - Enforcing structure on computations is useful for system optimization
  - Embrace heterogeneity in application and machine architecture
    - Interesting graphics applications have tightly coupled irregular parallelism
      and regular data parallelism (this should be encoded in structure)

- Alternative to current design of CUDA/OpenCL
  - These systems enforce very little global structure (very flexible, but provide
    few mechanisms for programmer to indicate intent to the system)
  - Result: these systems can only make simple mapping/scheduling decisions
GRAMPS postmortem

- Initial goal: make the graphics pipeline structure programmable

- We ended up with a lower level abstraction than today’s pipeline: GRAMPS lost domain knowledge of graphics (graphics pipelines are implemented on top of GRAMPS abstractions)
  - Good: now programmable logic controls the fixed-function logic (in the current graphics pipeline it is the other way around)
  - Good: system is not graphics-domain-specific, but remains aware of program’s overall structure (GRAMPS graph)

- Reality: mapping graphics abstractions to GRAMPS abstractions efficiently requires a near expert graphics programmer
  - Coming up with the right graph is hard (setting packet sizes, queue sizes has some machine dependence, some key optimizations are global)
Graphics programming abstractions today

- CPU+GPU fusion is begging for improvements to high-level frameworks for interactive graphics
  - Example: AMD’s Mantle
  - Alternative interface to AMD GPUs (few public details at this time)
  - Example: NVIDIA Optix: new framework for ray tracing
    - Application provides key kernels, Optix compiler/runtimes schedules
    - Built on top of CUDA

- Unresolved challenge: no clear, good solution yet
  - Echoes to broader trend in computer science: how to enable software development for parallel, heterogeneous systems
  - Mobile SoC designers are particularly interested in this problem (even more functional blocks: DSPs, camera image processors, misc sensor processors, ...)
Visual computing systems: ongoing/future systems research challenges (ideas from the course)
Visual computing: systems research challenges

1. Tighter integration of graphics pipeline and non-graphics pipeline workloads

   - Many different types of computations are required to generate a frame, and not all are best carried out using the graphics pipeline
     - Geometry synthesis (tessellation, procedural geometry)
     - Parallel construction of data structures: e.g., geometry buckets, light lists, sparse voxel octree, BVH
     - Shading (data-parallel, compute intensive)
     - Image post-processing: image filtering operations such as MLAA, motion/defocus blur, tone mapping
Visual computing: systems research challenges

2. Hardware support for software-controlled fixed-function units
   - What specialized hardware building blocks could be implemented to help with scheduling?
Visual computing: systems research challenges

3. Is there a need for distinct programmable hardware for computational photography and image understanding tasks?
   - Or is it best to implement a few basic primitives in silicon (convolution, feature extraction, histogram generation, etc.)
   - And then rely on GPU-like throughput processors for programmability
Visual computing: systems research challenges

3. Unique rendering challenges for virtual reality
   - (Sadly, left out of this course) see Michael Abrash’s GDC Keynote

4. New abstractions/architectures for analyzing images and video at scale
   - Content-based retrieval as a key computational primitive