## Photographic optics

15-463, 15-663, 15-862
Computational Photography Fall 2022, Lecture 4

## Course announcements

- Homework 2 will be posted tonight.
- Materials from Friday's reading group available on Piazza.
- If you want to attend the computational imaging lab meetings, see details on Piazza.


## Overview of today's lecture

- Leftover from previous lecture.
- Paraxial optics.
- Ray transfer matrix analysis.
- Aberrations and compound lenses.
- Lens designations.
- Filters.
- Prisms.
- DSLR and mirrorless cameras.


## Slide credits

Many of these slides were adapted from:

- Kris Kitani (15-463, Fall 2016).
- Fredo Durand (MIT).
- Marc Levoy (Stanford).
- Gordon Wetzstein (Stanford).


## Paraxial optics

## Thin lens model

Simplification of geometric optics for well-designed lenses.

focal length $f$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{S^{\prime}}+\frac{1}{S}=\frac{1}{f} \\
& m=\frac{S^{\prime}-f}{f}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Thin lens model

Simplification of geometric optics for well-designed lenses.


## Where do the thin lens properties come from?

 What determines the focal length of a thin lens?Two assumptions:

1. Rays passing through lens center are unaffected.
2. Parallel rays converge to a single point located on focal plane.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{S^{\prime}}+\frac{1}{S}=\frac{1}{f} \\
& m=\frac{S^{\prime}-f}{f}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Real lenses

We will first consider the case of a system with an individual lens element

The lens' behavior is determined by three characteristics:


## Refraction

Refraction is the bending of rays of light when they cross optical interfaces (i.e., surfaces where the index of refraction changes).
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## Refraction at interfaces of complicated shapes

What shape should an interface have to make parallel rays converge to a point?


## Refraction at interfaces of complicated shapes

What shape should an interface have to make parallel rays converge to a point?


Single hyperbolic interface: point to parallel rays

Double hyperbolic interface: point to point rays


Therefore, lenses should also have hyperbolic shapes.
(Note: conics have different reflective and refractive properties.)

## Spherical lenses

In practice, lenses are often made to have spherical interfaces for ease of fabrication.

- Two roughly fitting curved surfaces ground together will eventually become spherical.


Spherical lenses don't bring parallel rays to a point.

- This is called spherical aberration.
- Approximately axial (i.e., paraxial) rays behave better.


## Paraxial approximation

Assume angles are small. Then:

$$
\sin \theta \simeq \theta \quad \cos \theta \simeq 1 \quad \tan \theta \simeq \theta
$$

Where do these approximations come from?

## Paraxial approximation (a.k.a. first-order optics)

Assume angles are small. Then:

$$
\sin \theta \simeq \theta \quad \cos \theta \simeq 1 \quad \tan \theta \simeq \theta
$$

Where do these approximations come from?

- First-order expansions of sin and cos functions.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sin \theta=\theta-\frac{\theta^{3}}{3!}+\frac{\theta^{5}}{5!}-\frac{\theta^{7}}{7!}+\cdots \\
& \cos \theta=1-\frac{\theta^{2}}{2!}+\frac{\theta^{4}}{4!}-\frac{\theta^{6}}{6!}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

## Refraction

Refraction is the bending of rays of light when they cross optical interfaces (i.e., surfaces where the index of refraction changes)
Snell's law
$n_{2}=1.33$
water

## Refraction

Refraction is the bending of rays of light when they cross optical interfaces (i.e., surfaces where the index of refraction changes)

water

## Paraxial focusing


spherical lens surface with radius $r$

## Paraxial focusing



+ assume $e \approx 0$
Where do these two $\downarrow$ assume $\sin u=h / l \approx u$ (for $u$ in radians) equations come from?
+ assume $\cos u \approx z / l \approx 1$


## Paraxial focusing



How can we relate angles $i$ and $i^{\prime}$ ?

## Paraxial focusing



Snell's law:

$$
n \sin i=n^{\prime} \sin i^{\prime}
$$

paraxial approximation:

$$
n i \approx n^{\prime} i^{\prime}
$$

## Paraxial focusing



What is this point?

## Paraxial focusing



Center of spherical surface

## Paraxial focusing



What is angle i equal to?

## Paraxial focusing
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## Paraxial focusing

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i=u+a \\
& u \approx h / z \\
& u^{\prime} \approx h / z^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
n i \approx n^{\prime} i^{\prime}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n(u+a) \approx n^{\prime}\left(a-u^{\prime}\right) \\
& n(h / z+h / r) \approx n^{\prime}\left(h / r-h / z^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

What does this last equation imply?

$$
n / z+n / r \approx n^{\prime} / r-n^{\prime} / z^{\prime}
$$

## Paraxial focusing
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$h$ has cancelled out, so any ray from $P$ will focus at $P^{\prime}$.

## Paraxial focusing

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i=u+a \\
& u \approx h / z \\
& u^{\prime} \approx h / z^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
n i \approx n^{\prime} i^{\prime}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n(u+a) \approx n^{\prime}\left(a-u^{\prime}\right) \\
& n(h / z+h / r) \approx n^{\prime}\left(h / r-h / z^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

What happens as z tends to infinity?

$$
n / z+n / r \approx n^{\prime} / r-n^{\prime} / z^{\prime}
$$

## Focal length



What happens if $z$ is $\infty$ ?

$$
n / z+n / r \approx n^{\prime} / r-n^{\prime} / z^{\prime}
$$

$$
n / r \approx n^{\prime} / r-n^{\prime} / z^{\prime}
$$

- $f \triangleq$ focal length $=z^{\prime}$


## Thin lens

Using similar derivations, we can extend these results to two spherical interfaces.

- We obtain a spherical lens in air.
- Thin lens approximation: d close to zero.
- Under this approximation, we obtain the lensmaker's equation.

$$
\frac{1}{s_{o}}+\frac{1}{s_{i}}=\left(n_{l}-1\right)\left(\frac{1}{R_{1}}-\frac{1}{R_{2}}\right)
$$



## Gaussian lens formula

- Starting from the lensmaker's formula

$$
\frac{1}{s_{o}}+\frac{1}{s_{i}}=\left(n_{l}-1\right)\left(\frac{1}{R_{1}}-\frac{1}{R_{2}}\right)
$$

- and recalling that as object distance $s_{o}$ is moved to infinity, image distance $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}$ becomes focal length $f_{i}$, we get

$$
\frac{1}{f_{i}}=\left(n_{l}-1\right)\left(\frac{1}{R_{1}}-\frac{1}{R_{2}}\right) .
$$

- Equating these two, we get the Gaussian lens formula

$$
\frac{1}{s_{o}}+\frac{1}{s_{i}}=\frac{1}{f_{i}} . \quad \text { Looks familiar? }
$$

## Thin lens model

Simplification of geometric optics for well-designed lenses.

focal length $f$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{S^{\prime}}+\frac{1}{S}=\frac{1}{f} \\
& m=\frac{S^{\prime}-f}{f}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Ray transfer matrix analysis

## Let's look at thin lenses (yet) again



## Assumptions:

- Paraxial $\rightarrow$ ?
- Thin lens $\rightarrow$ ?


## Let's look at thin lenses (yet) again



## Assumptions:

- Paraxial $\rightarrow$ angles $\theta$ are small, thus first-order approximations for $\sin \theta, \cos \theta$, and $\tan \theta$ apply.
- Thin lens $\rightarrow$ width of lens is negligible ( $d \simeq 0$ ) relative to distances $s$.


## Let's look at thin lenses (yet) again
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## Let's look at thin lenses (yet) again



## Ray transfer matrix analysis



Every optical system implements a (generally non-linear) ray mapping of the form:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{o} \\
\theta_{o}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
f\left(x_{i}, \theta_{i}\right) \\
g\left(x_{i}, \theta_{i}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

How do we go from here to a ray transfer matrix?

## Ray transfer matrix analysis



Every optical system implements a (generally non-linear) ray mapping of the form:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{o} \\
\theta_{o}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
f\left(x_{i}, \theta_{i}\right) \\
g\left(x_{i}, \theta_{i}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

How do we go from here to a ray transfer matrix?

- Paraxial approximation: Use first-order approximation around axial ray.


## Ray transfer matrix analysis



Under paraxial approximation:
$\left[\begin{array}{l}x_{o} \\ \theta_{o}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}f\left(x_{i}, \theta_{i}\right) \\ g\left(x_{i}, \theta_{i}\right)\end{array}\right] \simeq\left[\begin{array}{ll}A & B \\ C & D\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}x_{i} \\ \theta_{i}\end{array}\right]$ where

$$
A=\text { ? }
$$

$$
B=\text { ? }
$$

$$
C=?
$$

$$
D=?
$$

## Ray transfer matrix analysis
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## What is the ABCD matrix of...

- free space propagation?

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A & B \\
C & D
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & d \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$



- planar refractive interface?

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A & B \\
C & D
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{n_{1}}{n_{2}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

- planar mirror?

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A & B \\
C & D
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$



## Cascaded optical systems



Let's say we stack together three lenses.

- What is the total ray transfer matrix?


## Cascaded optical systems



## What is the ABCD matrix of...

- spherical refractive interface?



## What is the ABCD matrix of...

- spherical refractive interface?

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A & B \\
C & D
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
\left(\frac{n_{1}}{n_{2}}-1\right) / R & \frac{n_{1}}{n_{2}}
\end{array}\right]
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- two spherical interfaces?


## What is the ABCD matrix of...

- spherical refractive interface?

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A & B \\
C & D
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
\left(\frac{n_{1}}{n_{2}}-1\right) / R & \frac{n_{1}}{n_{2}}
\end{array}\right]
$$



- two spherical interfaces?

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A & B \\
C & D
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
\left(\frac{n_{2}}{n_{1}}-1\right) / R_{2} & \frac{n_{2}}{n_{1}}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
\left(\frac{n_{1}}{n_{2}}-1\right) / R_{1} & \frac{n_{1}}{n_{2}}
\end{array}\right]=\underbrace{\left[\left(\frac{n_{2}-n_{1}}{n_{1}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{R_{1}}-\frac{1}{R_{2}}\right)\right.}_{\text {What is this? }} \quad 1]
$$

## What is the ABCD matrix of...

- spherical refractive interface?

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A & B \\
C & D
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
\left(\frac{n_{1}}{n_{2}}-1\right) / R & \frac{n_{1}}{n_{2}}
\end{array}\right]
$$



- two spherical interfaces?

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A & B \\
C & D
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
\left(\frac{n_{2}}{n_{1}}-1\right) / R_{2} & \frac{n_{2}}{n_{1}}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
\left(\frac{n_{1}}{n_{2}}-1\right) / R_{1} & \frac{n_{1}}{n_{2}}
\end{array}\right]=\frac{1}{\underbrace{\left(\frac{n_{2}-n_{1}}{n_{1}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{R_{1}}-\frac{1}{R_{2}}\right)}_{\text {We rederived the }}} \quad 1]
$$

lensmaker's equation!

## Let's revisit focusing



What are the individual
ray transfer matrices?

## Let's revisit focusing
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## Let's revisit focusing



To have focus:

$$
s_{i}+s_{o}-\frac{s_{i} s_{o}}{f}=0 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{s_{i}}+\frac{1}{s_{o}}=\frac{1}{f} \quad \text { What is this? }
$$

## Let's revisit focusing



To have focus:

$$
s_{i}+s_{o}-\frac{s_{i} s_{o}}{f}=0 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{s_{i}}+\frac{1}{s_{o}}=\frac{1}{f} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { We rederived the } \\
& \text { Gaussian lens formula! }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Cascaded optical systems
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## Cascaded optical systems

Note: Lens ABCD matrix involves inverse focal length.

- We call the inverse focal length the diopter.

$$
d_{e}=d_{1}+d_{2}+d_{3}
$$

- Often used as a unit of inverse length (e.g., for depth).
- Diopter lengths are additive.


## Ray transfer matrix analysis

- Also known as ABCD matrix analysis (from the form of the ray transfer matrix).
- Any optical system, no matter how complicated, can be described by its ray transfer matrix.
- A cascaded optical system has a ray transfer matrix that is the product of the ray transfer matrices of its components.
- All of the above hold assuming paraxial rays, no aberrations, and no diffraction (geometric optics).


## Graphics perspective on ray transfer matrix analysis

- How can I use ray transfer matrix analysis to make ray tracing faster?
- How can I use ray transfer matrix analysis to make Monte Carlo rendering faster?

Principles and Applications of Pencil Tracing
Mikio Shinya
Sei

Tokiichiro Takahashi

3-9-11, Midori-cho, Musashino-shi
Tokyo 180, Japan

Abstract
Pencil tracing, a new approach to ray tracog, is introduced for faster image synthesis with ing,
more physical fidelity. The paraxial approximation theory for efficiently tracing a pencil of rays is described and analysis of its errors is conducted to insure the accuracy required for pencil tracing. $4 \times 4$ matrix (a system matrix) that provides the basis for pencil tracing and a variety of ray tracing techniques, such as beam tracing, ray tracing with cones, ray-object intersection tolerance, and a lighting modyl for relection and refraction.
the error analysis, functions that estimate approximation errors and determine a constraint on the spread angle of a pencil are given.
The theory results in the following fast ray tracing algorithms; ray tracing using a system ma ing' using a 'generalized perspective transform' Some experiments are described to show their ad vantages. A lighting model is also developed to
calculate the illuminance for refracted and reflected light.
point, there have been problems such as high computational cost and aliasing. Many attempts have been made to tackle those problems, and some of them have pro rays, instead of an individual ray. However, as the meth ods lack sufficient mathematical bases, they are limited to specific applications.
Heckbert proposed a method called 'beam tracing' $\mid 2$ which works well for reffecting polygonal objects. His method uses a pencil to be traced by introducing affine transformations in an object space. Unfortunately, the in which it approximates refractions. Moreover, since an error estimation method has not been proposed for guaranteeing the image accuracy, the accuracy cannot be controlled.
Amanatides proposed a 'ray tracing with cones' tech nique for anti-aliasing, fuzzy shadows, and dull reflec tions[3], where a conic pencil is traced. However, it failed to present a general equation for characterizing the spread Such an equation is also required for the calculation o

## A Frequency Analysis of Light Transport

## Frédo Durand

MIT-CSAIL
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Figure 1: Space-angle frequency spectra of the radiance function measured in a 3D scene. We focus on the neighborhood of a ray
path and measure the espectrum of a 4 D light field at different steps, path and measure the spectrum of a 4 D light field at different steps. which we summarize as 2 D plots that include only the radial com-
ponents of the spatial and angular dimensions. Notice how the ponents of the spatial and angular dimensions. Notice how the
blockers result in higher spatial frequency and how transport in free space transfers these spatial frequencies to the angular domain. Aliasing is present in the visualized spectra due to the resolution

This paper presents a theoretical framework for characterizing light transport in terms of frequency content. We seek a deep undecstanding of the frequency content of the radiance function in a
scene and how it is affected by phenomena such as occlusion. rescene and how it is affected by phenomena such as occlusion, re
flection, and propagation in space (Fig. 1). We first present the two fection, and propagation in space (Fig. 1). We first present the two-
dimensional case for simplicity of exposition. Then we show that

## Abstract

We present a signal-processing framework for light transport. We study the frequency content of radiance and how it is altered by previous work that considered either spatial or angular dimension and it offers a comprehensive treatment of both space and angle. We show that occlusion, a multiplication in the primal, amoun blocker. Propagation corresponds to a shear in the space-angle fre quency domain, while reflection on curved objects performs a dif erent shear along the angular frequency axis As shown by prev ultiplication in the Fourier domain. Our work shows how the sp tial components of lighting are affected by this angular convolution
Our framework predicts the characteristics of interactions suc as caustics and the disappearance of the shadows of smal feature
Predictions on the frequency content can then be used to contro sampling rates for rendering. Other potential applications include precomputed radiance transfer and inverse rendering.
Keywords: Light transport, Fourier analysis, signal processing

## 1 Introduction

Light in a scene is transported, occluded, and filtered by its comple interaction with objects. By the time itr raches our eyes, radiance Frequency analysis of the radiance function is particularly inter sting for many applications, including forward and inverse rend radiance has previously been described in a limited context. For insance, it is weil-known that diffuse refiection create smooth how-

## Why would we ever stack together multiple lenses?



## Compound lenses and aberrations

## Thin lenses are a fiction

The thin lens model assumes that the lens has no thickness, but this is rarely true...


- Even though we have multiple lenses, the entire optical system can be (paraxially) described using a single thin lens of some equivalent focal length and aperture number.
- Where and what exactly this lens is is difficult to determine.

To make real lenses behave like ideal thin lenses, we have to use combinations of multiple lens elements (compound lenses).
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## Aberrations

Deviations from ideal thin lens behavior (e.g., imperfect focus).

- Example: chromatic aberration.
focal length shifts with wavelength

glass has dispersion (refractive index changes with wavelength)
one lens cancels out dispersion of other


Using a doublet (two-element compound lens), we can reduce chromatic aberration.

## Chromatic aberration examples



## Oblique aberrations

These appear only as we move further from the center of the field of view.

- Contrast with spherical and chromatic, which appear everywhere.
- Many other examples (astigmatism, field curvature, etc.).


Coma


## Distortion example



Why do we wear glasses?

## Why do we wear glasses?

We turn our eye into a compound lens to:

- Fix incorrect lens-retina placement.

(a) Perfect eye

(b) Myopia

(c) Corrected Myopia

(d) Hyperopia

(e) Corrected Hyperopia
- Correct lens aberrations.



## The human eye is already a compound lens

As the human eye is a liquid lens, and water has dispersion, it has chromatic aberration.

- The combined cornea, anterior chamber, and crystalline lens form an achromatic doublet.
- Our brain further reduces perceived aberration by "cleverly" processing LMS cone responses.



## A costly aberration

Hubble telescope originally suffered from severe spherical aberration.

- COSTAR mission inserted optics to correct the aberration.



## Lens designations

## Designation based on field of view

What focal lengths go to what category depends on sensor size.

- Here we assume full frame sensor (same as 35 mm film).
mid-range

$$
f=50 \mathrm{~mm}
$$

telephoto $\quad \mathrm{f}=135 \mathrm{~mm}$


- Even then, there are no welldefined ranges for each category.



## Wide-angle lenses

Lenses with focal length 35 mm or smaller.


They tend to have large and curvy frontal elements.

## Wide-angle lenses

Ultra-wide lenses can get impractically wide...


Fish-eye lens: can produce (near) hemispherical field of view.


## Telephoto lenses

Lenses with focal length 85 mm or larger.
Technically speaking, "telephoto" refers to a specific lens design, not a focal length range. But that design is mostly useful for long focal lengths, so it has also come to mean any lens with such a focal length.


Telephotos can get very big...


600 mm f4 L IS II

$500 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{f4} \mathrm{~L}$ IS II


400 mm f 2.8 L IS II


## Telephoto lenses

- What is this?
- What is its focal length?

Telephotos can get very big...


## Telephoto lenses

- What is this?
- What is its focal length?

About 57 meters.


Telephotos can get very big...

## Prime vs zoom lenses



Prime lens: fixed focal length
Zoom lens: variable focal length
Why use prime lenses and not always use the more versatile zoom lenses?

## Prime vs zoom lenses



Prime lens: fixed focal length
Zoom lens: variable focal length
Why use prime lenses and not always use the more versatile zoom lenses?

- Zoom lenses have larger aberrations due to the need to cover multiple focal lengths.


## Numerical aperture and f-number

Numerical aperture (NA): sine of half-angle of entering light cone. - Varies with focus settings, we consider NA at infinity focus.
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## $\mathrm{NA} \equiv \sin \theta$

F-number ( $f /$ ): ratio of focal length and aperture diameter.

- Independent of focus setting (at least for ideal lenses).
- A larger f/ means a smaller aperture.

$$
\mathrm{f} / \equiv \frac{f}{D}
$$

How are the two related under paraxial approximation?

## Numerical aperture and f-number

Numerical aperture (NA): sine of half-angle of entering light cone.

- Varies with focus settings, we consider NA at infinity focus.

- A larger NA means a larger aperture.


## $\mathrm{NA} \equiv \sin \theta$

F-number ( $f /$ ): ratio of focal length and aperture diameter.

- Independent of focus setting (at least for ideal lenses).
- A larger f/ means a smaller aperture.

$$
\mathrm{f} / \equiv \frac{f}{D}
$$

How are the two related under paraxial approximation?

$$
\mathrm{NA}=\sin \theta \approx \tan \theta=\frac{D}{2 f}=\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{f} /}
$$

## Aperture size

Most lenses have variable aperture size.

- F-number notation: "f/1.4" means $f /=1.4$.
- Usually aperture sizes available at steps of one-half or one-third stops.
- Older lenses have separate manual aperture ring.
- Modern lenses control the aperture through a dial on the camera body ("gelded" lenses).

f/1.4

f/2.8



## Aperture size

Most lenses have variable aperture size.

- F-number notation: "f/1.4" means $f /=1.4$.
- Usually aperture sizes available at steps of one-half or one-third stops.
- Older lenses have separate manual aperture ring.
- Modern lenses control the aperture through a dial on the camera body ("gelded" lenses).

f/1.4

f/2.8

f/4

f/8


Reminder: A "stop" is a change in camera settings that changes amount of light by a factor of 2. - If the current aperture is at $\mathrm{f} / 4$, what is the f -number one stop up and one stop down?

## Lens speed

- A fast lens is one that has a large maximum aperture, or a small minimum f-number.
- The "speed" of a lens is its minimum f-number.


Why does this zoom lens has more than one lens speeds?

## Lens speed

- A fast lens is one that has a large maximum aperture, or a small minimum f-number.
- The "speed" of a lens is its minimum f-number.


Why does this zoom lens has more than one lens speeds?

- The max aperture size varies as the focal length (zoom) varies.

Fastest possible lenses
What is the speed of the fastest possible lens?

## Fastest possible lenses

What is the speed of the fastest possible lens?

- From paraxial approximation, fastest lens is f/0.5.
- In consumer photography, fastest lenses are f/0.9 - f/0.95.


Fast lenses tend to be bulky and expensive.

Leica Noctilux 50 mm f/0.95
(price tag: > \$10,000)

## Fastest lens ever made?

Zeiss 50 mm f 0.7 Planar lens


- Originally developed for NASA's Apollo missions.
- Stanley Kubrick somehow got to use the lens to shoot Barry Lyndon under only candlelight.


## Fastest lens ever made?

Zeiss 50 mm f 0.7 Planar lens


- Originally developed for NASA's Apollo missions.
- Stanley Kubrick somehow got to use the lens to shoot Barry Lyndon under only candlelight.


## Other kinds of lens designations

Macro lens: can achieve very large magnifications (typically at least 1:1).

- Lens body allows effective lens plane to be placed far away from sensor.
- Macro photography: extremely close-up photography.

Achromatic or apochromatic lens: corrected for chromatic aberration.

- Achromatic: two wavelengths have same focus.
- Apochromatic (better): three wavelengths have same focus.
- Often done by inserting elements made from low-dispersion glass.
- Expensive.


Aspherical lens: manufactured to have special (non-spherical) shape that reduces aberrations.

- Expensive, often only 1-2 elements in a compound lens are aspherical.



## Other kinds of lens designations



Filters

## Neutral density (ND) filters

Alternative way to control exposure:

- (Approximately) spectrally flat from 400-700 nm.
- Homogeneous glass that blocks by absorption or by reflection


Often characterized by optical density (OD):

- Transmittance $=10^{\wedge}($-optical density $) * 100$.

- Optical density is additive as you stack together ND filters.


## Graduated neutral-density filters

Variable optical density, from too high to too low/zero.

soft edge

hard edge


What are these filters useful for?

## Graduated neutral-density filters

Useful in scenes with parts of very different brightness.

- Common scenario: Sky - horizon - ground.



## Polarizing filters (or polarizers)

Most commonly circular polarizers.

- Same principle as polarizing sunglasses.


What are these filters useful for?

## Polarizing filters (or polarizers)

Reduce sky light


Reduce haze


## Polarizing filters (or polarizers)

Reduce direct reflections


## Spectral (color) filters

Mostly used for scientific applications or under very special lighting settings.


Additive Filter Set typical transmittance curves




## A note on filter sizes

## Each individual filter is often offered in a variety of sizes, ranging from 30 mm to 100 mm



Carl Zeiss T* UV Filter


Carl Zeiss T* POL Filter

## A note on filter sizes

The filter size you need to use is determined by the lens you are using.

- You can find the filter size marking in the front of the lens.
- You can avoid having to buy dozens of filters by using step-up and step-down rings.

filter size marking



## Prisms

## Prisms

Many different types of prisms that produce different types of reflections.


## Single Lens Reflex (SLR) cameras

Mechanism to provide direct view through the lens (TTL).

- Any downsides?


1 - Front-mount Lens
2 - Reflex Mirror at 45 degree angle
3 - Focal Plane Shutter
4 - Film or Sensor

5 - Focusing Screen
6 - Condenser Lens
7 - Optical Glass Pentaprism (or Pentamirror)
8 - Eyepiece (a.k.a. viewfinder)
©

Older types of cameras: twin lens reflex (TLR)


## Older types of cameras: twin lens reflex (TLR)



A twin-lens-reflex camera has two lenses-one on top of the other. Looking down onto a forusing screen. you view and focus your subject through the top lens. But when you press the shutter button, the bottom lens takes the picture. Twin-lens-reflex cameras take medium-format 120 roll film.

## SLR versus mirrorless

Mirrorless cameras used to be mostly point-and-shoot, but are quickly becoming the dominant choice for high-end photography.

- What are some pros and cons of mirrorless compared to SLR?


Digital Single Lens Reflex

## DSLR Camera



1 - Front-mount Lens
2 - Reflex Mirror at 45 degrees
3 - Focal Plane Shutter
4 - Film or Sensor

## Mirrorless Camera


(4)

5 - Focusing Screen
6 - Condenser Lens
7 - Optical Glass Pentaprism
8 - Eyepiece (a.k.a. viewfinder)
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