Matting and Transparency



15-463: Computational Photography
Alexei Efros, CMU, Spring 2010

How does Superman fly?

Super-human powers?

OR

Image Matting?

Physics of Alpha Matting



Semi-transparent objects

Pixels too large

alpha channel

Add one more channel:

- Image(R,G,B,alpha) ⇐ *Sprite!*

Encodes transparency (or pixel coverage):

- Alpha = 1: opaque object (complete coverage)
- Alpha = 0: transparent object (no coverage)
- 0<Alpha<1: semi-transparent (partial coverage)

Example: alpha = 0.7

Partial coverage or semi-transparency

Multiple Alpha Blending

So far we assumed that one image (background) is opaque.

If blending semi-transparent sprites (the “A over B” operation):

$$I_{comp} = \alpha_a I_a + (1-\alpha_a)\alpha_b I_b$$

$$\alpha_{comp} = \alpha_a + (1-\alpha_a)\alpha_b$$

Note: sometimes alpha is premultiplied: im(αR,αG,αB,α):

$$I_{comp} = I_a + (1-\alpha_a) I_b$$

(same for alpha!)



“Pulling a Matte”

Problem Definition:

- The separation of an image C into
  - A foreground object image C_o,
  - a background image C_b,
  - and an alpha matte α
- C_o and α can then be used to composite the foreground object into a different image

Hard problem

- Even if alpha is binary, this is hard to do automatically (background subtraction problem)
- For movies/TV, manual segmentation of each frame is infeasible
- Need to make a simplifying assumption…

Average/Median Image

What can we do with this?

Background Subtraction

-

=

Crowd Synthesis (with Pooja Nath)

1. Do background subtraction in each frame
2. Find and record “blobs”
3. For synthesis, randomly sample the blobs, taking care not to overlap them

Background Subtraction

A largely unsolved problem…



One video frame | Estimated background | Difference Image | Thresholded Foreground on blue

Blue Screen

Blue Screen matting

Most common form of matting in TV studios & movies

Petros Vlahos invented blue screen matting in the 50s. His Ultimatte® is still the most popular equipment. He won an Oscar for lifetime achievement.

A form of background subtraction:

- Need a known background
- Compute alpha as SSD(C,Cb) > threshold
  - Or use Vlahos' formula: $\alpha = 1 - p_1(B - p_2 G)$
- Hope that foreground object doesn't look like background
  - no blue ties!
- Why blue?
- Why uniform?

The Ultimatte





Blue screen for superman?

Semi-transparent mattes



What we really want is to obtain a true alpha matte, which involves semi-transparency

- Alpha between 0 and 1

Matting Problem: Mathematical Definition

For every pixel in the composite image,

<u>given</u>

- backing color $C_k = [R_k \ \ G_k \ \ B_k]$, and
- composite pixel color $C = [R \ \ G \ \ B]$

<u>compute</u>

- foreground pixel color

  $C_o = [R_o \ \ G_o \ \ B_o \ \ \alpha_o]$ ($= [\alpha_o R_o \ \alpha_o G_o \ \alpha_o B_o]$)

  such that

The matting equation

$$C = C_o + (1 - \alpha_o)\, C_k$$



Why is general matting hard?

Matting Equation:

$$C = C_o + (1 - \alpha_o)\, C_k$$

Solution #1: No Blue!

Matting Equation:

$$C = C_o + (1 - \alpha_o)\, C_k$$

- If we know that the foreground contains no blue, we have $B_o = 0$
- This leaves us with 3 equations and 3 unknowns, which has exactly one solution

$$R = \alpha_o R_o + (1 - \alpha_o)\, R_k \quad \leftarrow \text{3. Solve for } R_o$$
$$G = \alpha_o G_o + (1 - \alpha_o)\, G_k \quad \leftarrow \text{2. Solve for } G_o$$
$$B = B_k - \alpha_o B_k \quad \leftarrow \text{1. Solve for } \alpha_o$$

Main difficulty:

Solution #2: Gray or Flesh

Matting Equation:

$$C = C_o + (1 - \alpha_o) C_k$$

- If we know that the foreground contains gray, that means that $R_o = B_o = G_o$
- This leaves us with 3 equations and 2 unknowns

Triangulation Matting (Smith & Blinn)

Matting Equation:

$$C = C_o + (1 - \alpha_o) C_k$$

- Instead of reducing the number of unknowns, we could attempt to increase the number of equations
- One way to do this is to photograph an object of interest in front of two known but distinct backgrounds



How many equations?

How many unknowns?

Does the background need to be constant color?

The Algorithm

For every pixel p in the composite image,

given

- backing color $C_{k1} = [R_{k1}\ \ G_{k1}\ \ B_{k1}]$ at p,
- backing color $C_{k2} = [R_{k2}\ \ G_{k2}\ \ B_{k2}]$ at p,
- composite pixel color $C_1 = [R_1\ \ G_1\ \ B_1]$ at p, and
- composite pixel color $C_2 = [R_2\ \ G_2\ \ B_2]$ at p,

solve the system of 6 equations

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
R_1 = \alpha_o R_o + (1 - \alpha_o) R_{k1} & R_2 = \alpha_o R_o + (1 - \alpha_o) R_{k2} \\
G_1 = \alpha_o G_o + (1 - \alpha_o) G_{k1} & G_2 = \alpha_o G_o + (1 - \alpha_o) G_{k2} \\
B_1 = \alpha_o B_o + (1 - \alpha_o) B_{k1} & B_2 = \alpha_o B_o + (1 - \alpha_o) B_{k2}
\end{array}
$$

for unknowns R_o, G_o, B_o, α_o

Triangulation Matting Examples

From Smith & Blinn's SIGGRAPH'96 paper

More Examples



More examples

Problems with Matting

Images do not look realistic

Lack of Refracted Light

Lack of Reflected Light

Solution:

Modify the Matting Equation

Environment Matting and Compositing

slides by Jay Hetler

Douglas E. Zongker ~ Dawn M. Werner ~ Brian Curless ~ David H. Salsin

Environment Matting Equation

$$C = F + (1-\alpha)B + \Phi$$

C ~ Color

F ~ Foreground color

B ~ Background color

α ~ Amount of light that passes through the foreground

Φ ~ Contribution of light from Environment that travels through the object

Explanation of Φ

R – reflectance image
T – Texture image



Environment Mattes

Performance

Calibration

Matting: 10-20 minutes extraction time for each texture map (Pentium II 400Mhz)

Compositing: 4-40 frames per second

Real-Time?

How much better is Environment Matting?

Alpha Matte **Environment Matte** **Photograph**

How much better is Environment Matting?

Alpha Matte **Environment Matte** **Photograph**

Movies!

Fast Separation of Direct and Global Images Using High Frequency Illumination

Shree K. Nayar
Gurunandan G. Krishnan
Columbia University
Michael D. Grossberg
City College of New York
Ramesh Raskar
MERL

SIGGRAPH Conference
Boston, July 2006

Support: ONR, NSF, MERL

Direct and Global Illumination



A : Direct

B : Interrelection

C : Subsurface

D : Volumetric

E : Diffusion

Direct and Global Components: Interreflections



$$L[c,i] = L_d[c,i] + L_g[c,i]$$

radiance — direct — global

$$L_g[c,i] = \sum_{P} A[i,j]\, L[i,j]$$

BRDF and geometry

High Frequency Illumination Pattern



$$L^{+}[c,i] = L_d[c,i] + \alpha L_g[c,i]$$

fraction of activated source elements

High Frequency Illumination Pattern



$$L^{+}[c,i] = L_d[c,i] + \alpha\, L_g[c,i]$$

$$L^{-}[c,i] = (1-\alpha)\, L_g[c,i]$$

fraction of activated source elements

Separation from Two Images

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2}: \quad \boxed{L_d = L_{\max} - L_{\min}, \quad L_g = 2L_{\min}}$$

direct (L_d) global (L_g)

Other Global Effects: Subsurface Scattering



Other Global Effects: Volumetric Scattering



Diffuse Interreflections

Specular Interreflections

Diffusion

Volumetric Scattering

Subsurface Scattering

Scene

Scene



Direct

Global

Real World Examples:

Can You Guess the Images?

Eggs: Diffuse Interreflections

Direct

Global

Wooden Blocks: Specular Interreflections

Direct

Global

Kitchen Sink: Volumetric Scattering

Volumetric Scattering:
Chandrasekar 50, Ishimaru 78

Direct

Global

Peppers: Subsurface Scattering

Direct

Global

Hand

Skin: Hanrahan and Krueger 93, Uchida 96, Haro 01, Jensen et al. 01, Cula and Dana 02, Igarashi et al. 05, Weyrich et al. 05



Direct

Global

Face: Without and With Makeup

Without Makeup



Direct

Global

With Makeup



Direct

Global



Blonde Hair

Hair Scattering: Stamm et al. 77, Bustard and Smith 91, Lu et al. 00 Marschner et al. 03

Direct

Global



www.cs.columbia.edu/CAVE