# Automatic Image Alignment (feature-based)



© Mike Nese

with a lot of slides stolen from Steve Seitz and Rick Szeliski 15-463: Computational Photography Alexei Efros, CMU, Fall 2005

# Today's lecture


- Feature <u>detectors</u>
  - scale invariant Harris corners
- Feature <u>descriptors</u>
  - patches, oriented patches

#### Reading for Project #4:

Multi-image Matching using Multi-scale image patches, CVPR 2005

#### **Invariant Local Features**

Image content is transformed into local feature coordinates that are invariant to translation, rotation, scale, and other imaging parameters



**Features Descriptors** 

# Advantages of local features

Locality: features are local, so robust to occlusion and clutter (no prior segmentation)

**Distinctiveness:** individual features can be matched to a large database of objects

**Quantity:** many features can be generated for even small objects

Efficiency: close to real-time performance

**Extensibility:** can easily be extended to wide range of differing feature types, with each adding robustness


### More motivation...

### Feature points are used for:

- Image alignment (homography, fundamental matrix)
- 3D reconstruction
- Motion tracking
- Object recognition
- Indexing and database retrieval
- Robot navigation
- ... other

#### Harris corner detector


C.Harris, M.Stephens. "A Combined Corner and Edge Detector". 1988




#### The Basic Idea


We should easily recognize the point by looking through a small window


Shifting a window in *any direction* should give *a large* change in intensity



#### Harris Detector: Basic Idea








"flat" region: no change in all directions

"edge": no change along the edge direction

"corner": significant change in all directions

Change of intensity for the shift [u,v]:



For small shifts [u, v] we have a *bilinear* approximation:

$$E(u,v) \cong \begin{bmatrix} u,v \end{bmatrix} \quad M \quad \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$$

where M is a  $2\times2$  matrix computed from image derivatives:

$$M = \sum_{x,y} w(x,y) \begin{bmatrix} I_x^2 & I_x I_y \\ I_x I_y & I_y^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A^{T}A = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{I_{x}I_{x}}^{I_{x}I_{x}} & \sum_{I_{y}I_{y}}^{I_{x}I_{y}} \\ \sum_{I_{x}I_{y}}^{I_{x}I_{y}} & \sum_{I_{y}I_{y}}^{I_{y}I_{y}} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{I_{x}I_{y}}^{I_{x}I_{y}} \begin{bmatrix} I_{x} & I_{y} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{I_{x}I_{y}I_{y}}^{I_{x}I_{y}I_{y}} \begin{bmatrix} I_{x} & I_{y} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{I_{x}I_{y}I_{y}}^{I_{x}I_{y}I_{y}} \begin{bmatrix} I_{x} & I_{y} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{I_{x}I_{y}I_{y}I_{y}}^{I_{x}I_{y}I_{y}I_{y}}$$

Classification of image points using eigenvalues of M:  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are large,  $\lambda_1 \sim \lambda_2$ ; E increases in all directions  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are small; E is almost constant "Flat" in all directions region

Measure of corner response:

$$R = \frac{\det M}{\operatorname{Trace} M}$$

$$\det M = \lambda_1 \lambda_2$$

$$\operatorname{trace} M = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$$


#### Harris Detector

### The Algorithm:


- Find points with large corner response function R
   (R > threshold)
- Take the points of local maxima of R




Compute corner response R



Find points with large corner response: R>threshold




Take only the points of local maxima of R





# Harris Detector: Some Properties

Rotation invariance




Ellipse rotates but its shape (i.e. eigenvalues) remains the same


Corner response R is invariant to image rotation

# Harris Detector: Some Properties

Partial invariance to affine intensity change


- ✓ Only derivatives are used => invariance to intensity shift  $I \rightarrow I + b$
- ✓ Intensity scale:  $I \rightarrow a I$





# Harris Detector: Some Properties

But: non-invariant to *image scale*!




All points will be classified as edges

Corner!

### Scale Invariant Detection


Consider regions (e.g. circles) of different sizes around a point Regions of corresponding sizes will look the same in both images



### Scale Invariant Detection


The problem: how do we choose corresponding circles *independently* in each image?

Choose the scale of the "best" corner



### Feature selection

Distribute points evenly over the image



### Adaptive Non-maximal Suppression

#### Desired: Fixed # of features per image

- Want evenly distributed spatially...
- Search over non-maximal suppression radius [Brown, Szeliski, Winder, CVPR'05]



(a) Strongest 250



(b) Strongest 500



(c) ANMS 250, r = 24




(d) ANMS 500, r = 16

# Feature descriptors

We know how to detect points

Next question: How to match them?



Point descriptor should be:

1. Invariant

2. Distinctive

## Descriptors Invariant to Rotation

#### Find local orientation

Dominant direction of gradient





• Extract image patches relative to this orientation

### Multi-Scale Oriented Patches

#### Interest points

- Multi-scale Harris corners
- Orientation from blurred gradient
- Geometrically invariant to rotation

#### Descriptor vector

- Bias/gain normalized sampling of local patch (8x8)
- Photometrically invariant to affine changes in intensity

[Brown, Szeliski, Winder, CVPR'2005]

# **Descriptor Vector**

### Orientation = blurred gradient Rotation Invariant Frame

• Scale-space position (x, y, s) + orientation  $(\theta)$ 



# Detections at multiple scales




Figure 1. Multi-scale Oriented Patches (MOPS) extracted at five pyramid levels from one of the Matier images. The boxes show the feature orientation and the region from which the descriptor vector is sampled.